

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/735,006 12/11/2003		Gerald P. McCann	7233-101 2300		
167	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
FULBRIGH	IT AND JAWORSKI I	ALI, MOHAMMAD M			
	OCKETING 29TH FLOO FIGUEROA STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	LES, CA 900172576		3744		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)	+++			
		10/735,0	906	MCCANN ET AL.	NO			
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit				
		Mohamm		3744				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress			
Period fo	• =							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IN INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUN IN INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUN IN	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and we will by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>27 August 200</u>	<u>4</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)								
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by t							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmer	nt(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/735,006

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the location of the carbonator must be shown between the circulation pump and the heat transfer coil for claim 8 or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. The numeral 108 in Fig. 7 is not heat transfer coils. It is a colt plate. It does not necessary that the cold plate always provided with heat transfer coils.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vogel (4,781,309). Vogel discloses a carbonated beverage dispenser comprising a closed carbonated water circulation circuit with carbonated water distribution manifold 20T, supply and return line 30T2, carbonated water cooling coils 30CP in fluid communication with the carbonated water circulation circuit, a ice/cold plate 110, ice bin 112, circulating water cools to 32 degrees F which is below 33 degrees F. See Fig. 7, 8 and 9 and column 4, line 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,006

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogel in view of Chang (5,839,291). Vogel discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Vogel does not disclose a pump. Chang teaches the use of a circulating pump 172 in a beverage dispensing system for the purpose of dispensing drinks. See Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the beverage dispenser of Vogel in view of Chang such that a circulation pump could be provided in order to circulate carbonated water. Regarding bar gun, any dispensing valve of a beverage dispenser can be termed as bar gun. As for example see reference 6,021,922 to Bilskie et al. For claim 2 the carbonator 141 of Chang obviously located in between

Art Unit: 3744

the heat transfer coil 168 and circulating pump 172 during re-circulation stage (See Fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/27/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argued, "Bilskie et al. does not include circulation circuit and has no pump capable of inducing circulation." The Examiner disagrees. Examiner believes that a circulation circuit can be an open circulation circuit or closed circulation circuit or a circuit with closed loop. For example column 1, lines 29-39 of US Patent No. 5246422 to Favre may kindly be seen. However, for clear understanding, The Examiner has reviewed the case and Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as explained above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is 703-308-5032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Esquivel Denise can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,006

Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad m. Ali

Md. Mchain Ali'

October 17, 2004